·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/600,159	KIM ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin T. Raetzsch	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to the application filed 6	<u>6/20/03</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>8-14</u> .		
3.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. nitted. Note the attached EXAMINER res reason(s) why the oath or declara st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO - 's Amendment / Comment or in the (1.84(c)) should be written on the drawithe header according to 37 CFR 1.121	national stage application from the complying with the requirements SS AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient. 948) attached Office action of ings in the front (not the back) of (d).
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	CAL MATERIAL.
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 708), 7. ☒ Examiner's Amend	Patent Application (PTO-152) (PTO-413), ate Iment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance
		•



Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,159

Art Unit: 1754

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to the product TiC, classified in class 423, subclass 440.
- II. Claims 8-14, drawn to the product TiCN, classified in class 423, subclass 382.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are two different products in different classifications. The inventions are patentably distinct and therefore restrictable.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Richard Milner on 9/25/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of TiCN, claims 8-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Status of Claims

Claims 1-7 have been canceled by the examiner with permission from Richard Milner (telephone conversation on 10/4/05).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-14 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,159

Art Unit: 1754

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art teaches methods for manufacturing nanophase TiCN compounds similar to the applicant. The subject matter not found in the prior art, however, is using a carbon chloride as a carbon source. Prior art, such as Bienvenu (US 5,102,646) or Davidson et al. (US 4,812,301), teach using titanium tetrachloride and molten magnesium but use methane or calcium chloride as a carbon source, not carbon chlorides as claimed by the applicant. The carbon chloride is not obvious over prior art, since, as stated by the applicant, it allows for an improved efficiency of reaction between the titanium and carbon atoms.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin T. Raetzsch whose telephone number is 571-272-8164. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin T Raetzsch

STUART L. HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER